



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/971,031	10/05/2001	Friedrich Mueller		3734
7	590 09/12/2003			
Vincent L. Ramik DILLER, RAMIK & WIGHT 7345 McWhorter Place, Suite 101			EXAMINER	
			DEAK, LESLIE R	
Annandale, VA 22003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Andrew Occurrence	09/971,031	9/971,031 MUELLER ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leslie R. Deak	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period f r Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent or the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	October 2001 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disp sition of Claims 			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 October 2001</u> is/ard			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		•	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	•		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	-xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome. 	• •		
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,551,266 to Davis, in view of US Pub. 2003/0154108 to Fletcher-Hayes et al. Davis discloses a therapeutic apheresis system, which is an extracorporeal blood treatment machine. The device includes a computerized data management system that includes a system for coordinating, managing, directing, entering, accessing, and analyzing all aspects of remote and local apheresis systems on the network. The device has a CPU and a storage device, as well as all the associated software required to manage and control the system over an Internet platform (see columns 19-21). With that disclosure,

Application/Control Number: 09/971,031

Art Unit: 3762

Davis indicates that the system incorporates all software and hardware required to establish and maintain an Internet connection. Using the Internet as a communication tool is an obvious solution to communications problems, since the Internet provides a common language that various machines on a network can use to communicate with one another, as taught by Fletcher-Hayes see paragraphs 0204-0206). The communication system used in Fletcher-Hayes' extracorporeal blood treatment system specifically discloses a web interface that allows communication between a computer/database system and various other computer systems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the extracorporeal treatment device and computerized data management system disclosed by Davis with the internet communications software disclosed by Fletcher-Hayes in order to provide a means of communication that can be decoded by various machines on the network, as taught by Hayes. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to combine the communications hardware and software in an integrated unit, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly booen formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. See MPEP 2144.04.

With regard to claims 2-7 regarding the operation of the ECB station and communication system, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. As such, the processes claimed by applicant do not add patentable weight to the independent claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/971,031 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

a. US 6,589,482

Burbank et al

i. Extracorporeal circuits with communications telemetry systems

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie R. Deak whose telephone number is 703-305-0200. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

5 September 2003

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angel. D. Ayke,